

# Computational Music Analysis Workshop

## Introduction: First movement of Brahms' Op. 51 No. 1 and an Overview of the Proposed Approaches

---

Chantal Buteau

Dept. of Mathematics, Brock University, Canada, and

Christina Anagnostopoulou

Dept. of Music Studies, University of Athens, Greece

Séminaire MaMuX: mathématiques, musique, et relations  
avec d'autres disciplines  
IRCAM, Paris, April 5 - 6, 2008

# Outline

---

- Workshop: history and aims
- Computational music analysis
- Brahms' op. 51 no. 1
- Analyses by Lewin, Forte, Huron
- Comparison of Workshop's approaches

# The workshop

---

- Motivation: Discussion and comparison of approaches to Computational Music Analysis (**CMA**)
- Workshop at MCM 2007
- Aims
  - Comparison on the meta-level of music analysis
  - Focusing on one piece (though possible intertextual comparisons)
  - Discussion session on methodologies, results, and general issues in CMA

# Computational Music Analysis

---

- The structural analysis of the musical score using formal/computational means.
- Emphasis on knowledge representation
- Reductionist, paradigmatic, syntagmatic, harmonic, etc
- Paradigmatic: Pattern repetition in the musical surface. Capturing repetition, variation and transformation in music.
- Patterns can be motives, phrases, segments, and so on.
- Usually an analysis on the “neutral” level

# Issues in CMA

---

- Various methodologies in Music Analysis
- Score representation
- Segmentation of the score
- One piece vs a small set vs corpus analysis
- Justification of approach
- Representation of repeating structures
- Musical interpretation of results

# Brahms Op. 51 No. 1

---

- Why Op. 51 No. 1?
- An example of his most advanced writing
- Destroyed about 20 beforehand ...
- 1865 – 66, then again in 1873
- Papers on systematic analysis:
  - A. Forte, "Motivic design and structural levels in the first movement of Brahms's String Quartet in C minor", 1983.
  - D. Huron, "What is a musical feature? Forte's analysis of Brahms's opus 51 no 1, revisited", 2001.
  - D. Lewin, "Brahms, his past, and modes of music theory", 1990.

# Op.51 No.1: Form

---

- A general sonata form
- C minor
- Exposition (1 – 83): 2 contrasting subject groups, often heard together
- Development (84 – 132): using materials from exposition
- Recapitulation (133 – 260): 2 subject groups, Coda (224 – 260).

# Lewin's analysis

---

- Discusses two subjects of exposition (bars 1-23)
- Two rhetoric modes
- One Beethoven like: motivic material stated, progressively developed, then liquidated, leading to cadence of V
- Here: modified – second subject comes early, large-scale elaboration of dominant in bars 7 - 24
- One lyrical, dominant prolongation, Mozart like
- The two different modes are put together in a Brahmsian way



# Forte's Analysis

---

- Importance of the motive
- Also using Schenkerian principles
- Inspiration from Pathétique Sonata
- Motives are pitch, PC-specific or PCI-specific
- Boundary interval feature of motive (alpha)
- Transformations: R, I, RI, minor-major, major-minor
- Leaves out rhythmic features
- Finds same motives in Schenkerian structure of middleground...
- Motives are related to each other in various ways

# Forte's Table of Motives

The image displays Forte's Table of Motives, a collection of musical motifs in G minor. The motives are arranged in a grid-like fashion, with some appearing in pairs. Each motive is represented by a musical staff in treble clef with a key signature of two flats (B-flat and E-flat). The motives are labeled with Greek letters and symbols:

- Motive 1:  $\alpha$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha'$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}'$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\bar{\phi}$ ,  $\phi'$ ,  $\bar{\phi}'$
- Motive 2:  $\beta$ ,  $\bar{\beta}$ ,  $\beta'$ ,  $\bar{\beta}'$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\bar{\chi}$
- Motive 3:  $\gamma$ ,  $\bar{\gamma}$
- Motive 4:  $\omega$
- Motive 5:  $\lambda$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}$
- Motive 6:  $\lambda$  (with a slur over the notes)
- Motive 7:  $\theta$ ,  $\bar{\theta}$ ,  $\bar{\theta}$
- Motive 8:  $\epsilon$ ,  $\bar{\epsilon}$
- Motive 9:  $\delta$ ,  $\bar{\delta}$ ,  $\delta'$ ,  $\bar{\delta}'$
- Motive 10:  $\sigma$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}$

# Huron's Analysis: A response to Forte

---

- What is a musical feature?
- How do we distinguish one piece from others within a corpus?
- Notion of presence, salience, distinctiveness, significance
- Analysis of quartet as an illustration of the theory
- Forte's alpha motive does not distinguish this quartet from others by Brahms.
- But: prime form of alpha, linked with rhythmic pattern (lsl) is distinctive.

# Comparing Computational Analysis Approaches

---

- Type of analysis
- Scientific context
- Communication level
- Approach aim/strategy
- Programming language & computational limitations
- Musical texture for analysis
- Music representation for implementation
- Music Segmentation
- Analyzed musical objects, representations (approach & implementation) & identification
- Output representations

# Type of Analysis – Connection to traditional music analysis approaches

	Metric & Rhythmic Analysis	Harmonic Analysis	Motivic Analysis	Structural Paradigmatic Pattern	Set Theory
Ahn		X	X		
Buteau			X		
Cathé		X			
Conklin			X		
Gualda	X		X	X	X
Lartillot			X		
Tenkanen		X		X	

# Scientific Context

	Machine Learning	Mathematics	Statistics	Cognitive Science	Other (specify)
Ahn		X	X		
Buteau		X			
Cathé			X		Music Analysis & Music Theory
Conklin	X		X		
Gualda	X	X	X		
Lartillot		X		X	Pattern mining
Tenkanen		(X)			

# Communication Level

---

	Poietic Level	Neutral Level	Aesthetic Level
Ahn		X	
Buteau		X	
Cathé		X	
Conklin		X	
Gualda		X	
Lartillot			X
Tenkanen		X	

# Approach Aim/Strategy

---

- Ahn: **Applying Klumpenhouwer nets** to the Brahms' Quatuor with the different motives proposed by Forte, **try to construct a graphical representation of a significant motif**
- Buteau: **Construction of a motivic hierarchy** of a piece & **identification of germinal motives** (motives that are omnipresent in a piece through their repetitions and variations).
- Cathé: The theoretical background of the approach is the **theory of the Harmonic Vectors of Nicolas Meeùs**. It has been developed to take account of the nature of the chords, in addition to the root motions.
- Conklin: Goal of **discovering general patterns** that are **distinctive: occurring with significantly higher probability in an analysis corpus as compared to an anticorpus**. Patterns are discovered by an algorithm that explores a pattern specialization space using two refinement operators.



## Approach Aim/Strategy (2)

---

- Gualda: **To identify large patterns as well as frequent small patterns, based on a few equivalence rules, which can be chosen by the user.**
- Lartillot: **Discovery of repeated motives in symbolic music representations, through a search for closed patterns in a multi-dimensional parametric space.** A modeling of cyclic pattern enables an adapted filtering of combinatorial redundancy caused by successive repetitions of patterns.
- Tenkanen: I am developing an analysis method called **comparison set analysis (CSA)**. At its first stage CSA was **based on imbricated segments of pc-sets and similarity measures** like Lewin's REL. Now I'll test a distance function for **measuring 'tonal distances'** between pc-sets.

# Programming Language/Software

---

- Ahn: **LISP - OpenMusic**
- Buteau: **JAVA** (computations), **OpenMusic/Common Lisp** and **Maple** (for visualization of output)
- Conklin: **Perl** Objects, with some code in **C** (for efficiency)
- Gualda: **C++**
- Lartillot: **Common Lisp**, integrated in **OpenMusic**
- Tenkanen: **R** (see website ref)

# Computational Limitations

- Buteau: Possible large number of gestalts, 2 additional programs for output visualization (**tedious**), first-stage **manual segmentation**
- Cathé: The **software is a help**, and nothing more.
- Conklin: The pattern space is very rich (pattern components are sets/conjunctions of features) and therefore **search heuristics are used** to find a solution in cases where there are very large search spaces (large analysis corpus and/or many viewpoints used for the analysis).
- Gualda: **64-bit integers** – for compatibility with 32-bit processors (128-bit integers would be ideal)
- Lartillot: **Slow** (not optimized at all). Many **bugs**. **Results** represented as list of numbers, **difficult to read**. The results still contain redundancy that **needs to be filtered out** manually for the moment.

# Musical Texture for Analysis

	Monophonic Music	Homophonic Music	Polyphonic Music
Ahn			X
Buteau	X	X	X
Cathé		X	X
Conklin	X	X ( must be 'sliced')	X ( must be 'sliced')
Gualda	X	X	X
Lartillot	X	(each voice separately)	
Tenkanen			X

# Music Representation for Implementation (input)

	MIDI file	Humdrum	(hand-written) Score	Other
Ahn	X			OM score
Buteau	X		X	
Cathé			X	Chords list
Conklin	X	X		
Gualda	X			SonicEvents
Lartillot	X	(in progress)	X	
Tenkanen	X			

# Music Segmentation

	No segmentation	Automatic segmentation - score specific; e.g. contiguous melodies until a rest	Automatic segmentation - computational criteria; e.g. melodies within a bar window	Semi-Automatic - e.g. a hand-segmentation followed by its segments' automatic segmentation	Hand-segmentation
Ahn	X				
Buteau			X	X	
Cathé					X
Conklin	X				(X)
Gualda			X	X	X
Lartillot	X				
Tenkanen		X			

## Analyzed Musical Objects

---

- Ahn: **motives**
- Buteau: **motives** (of any size)
- Cathé: all **successions of (classified) chords**
- Conklin: The method analyzes **sequences**: these sequences **may contain notes, segments or slices**.
- Gualda: **melodic lines, motives, and large sections**.
- Lartillot: **motives of any size** (from cell to whole themes)
- Tenkanen: **Imbricated pitch-class sets**

# Representations of Musical Objects

- Buteau: a motive is a finite set of notes that are represented by: **COM-matrix**, **strings of pitch intervals**, (*elastic shapes*), ...
- Conklin: Notes: (pitch spelling, onset, duration) & **Patterns: sequences of feature sets**, where a single feature is a name:value pair (e.g., int:2).
- Gualda: **strings of pitches** and **of pitch profiles**
- Lartillot: a **motive is a graph of state**, where each state is a note and each transition an interval. Each state and transition can be associated to **various musical dimensions**. The whole set of motives form a **prefix tree**.
- Tenkanen: **Pitch-class vectors**



# Musical Objects in Implementation

	Contiguous objects	Also some non-contiguous according to some rules	Also non-contiguous objects
Ahn	X		
Buteau			X
Cathé	X		X
Conklin		X	
Gualda		X	
Lartillot		X	
Tenkanen	X		

# Identification of Musical Objects

	Strict (string) Identification	Combination of sub-strings (or representations) Identification	Similarity of strings <small>(please write similarity measure name(s))</small>
Ahn	X		
Buteau	X		Relative Euclidean, CSIM, Absolute value
Conklin		X	
Gualda	X	X	X
Lartillot		X	
Tenkanen			tondist, distance function developed by undersigned

# Resulting Analysis & Musical Object Representations

	One analysis possibly considering many representations	Many analyses each considering one representation
Ahn	X	
Buteau		X
Conklin	X	
Gualda	X	X
Lartillot	X	
Tenkanen		X

# Output Representations with your Implementation

	Numerical Output	Graphic Representations	Visualization in Score	(Automatic) Tabular Representations	Other (specify)
Ahn		X	X		
Buteau	X	X	X	X (dynamic)	
Cathé	X	X			
Conklin	X			X	
Gualda		X		X	(‘Piano roll’) (‘Interactive’)
Lartillot	X				
Tenkanen		X			

# In preparation for the discussion

---

- Reference to Forte and Huron's papers
- Approach aspects
- Comparison of results
- More generally: Issues in computational music analysis & its contribution to the general field of music analysis
- Do results require further musical interpretation?
- Does statistical significance mean musical significance?
- Does computation add rigor to music analysis, or is it restrictive?