



A survey of Machine Learning techniques

UNIVERSITE DIEBRE & MARIE CURIE

ircam

🜌 Centre Pompidou

Arshia Cont Musical Representations Team, IRCAM. cont@ircam.fr

ATIAM 2013-14

http://repmus.ircam.fr/atiam ml 2013

Machine Learning and AI

- Machine Learning deals with sub-problems in engineering and sciences rather than the global "intelligence" issue!
 - Applied

master.₁₃

- A set of well-defined approaches each within its limits that can be applied to a problem set
- Classification / Pattern Recognition / Sequential Reasoning / Induction / Parameter Estimation etc.
- Our goal today is to introduce some well-known and wellestablished approaches in AI and Machine Learning
- The methods presented today are not domain-specific but for every problem, you start with a design, collect related data and then define the learning problem. We will not get into design today
- Keep in mind that,
- Al is an empirical science!
- See "Science of the Artificial" by H.A. Simons, MIT Press, 1969
- DO NOT apply algorithms blindly to your data/problem set!
- The MATLAB Toolbox syndrome: Examine the hypothesis and limitation of each approach before hitting enter! Do not forget your own intelligence!

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

What is Artificial Intelligence?

by John McCarthy.

- http://www-formal.stanford.edu/imc/whatisai/
- "After WWII, a number of people independently started to work on intelligent machines. The English mathematician Alan Turing may have been the first. He gave a lecture on it in 1947. He also may have been the first to decide that AI was best researched by programming computers rather than by building machines. By the late 1950s, there were many researchers on AI, and most of them were basing their work on programming computers."
- Towards complexity of real-world structures
 - Ant-colony example
 - "The complex behavior of the ant colony is due to the complexity of its environment and not to the complexity of the ants themselves. This suggests the adaptive behavior of learning and representation and the path the science of the artificial should take." (H.A. Simons, The Science of the Artificial, MIT Press, 1969)

master.

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

2





Sample Example (I)

- Communication theory:
- Question: What should an optimal decoder do to recover Y from X ?
- X is usually referred to as observation and is a random variable.
- In most problems, the *real* state of the world (y) is not *observable* to us! So we try to *infer* this from the *observation*.



Machine Learning

- Provide tools and reasoning for the design process of a given problem
- Is an empirical science
- Has a profound theoretical background
- Is extremely diverse
- Should keep you **honest** (and not the contrary!)
- Course objective:
 - To get familiar with Machine Learning tools and reasoning and prepare you for attacking real-world problems in Music Processing

master, ATIAM

7

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

6

Sample Example (I)

- This is a typical *Classification* problem
- Intuitive Solution:
 - Threshold on 0.5
 - But let's make life more difficult!



Sample Example (I)

- Simple Solution I:
 - Define a decision function g(x) that predicts the state of the world (y).
 - and learn it!
- I am thus assuming that the family of g(x) that generate X if I have Y (the inverse problem).



Sample Example (I)

<text><text>

Sample Example (I)

- Simple Solution 2:
 - Try to find an optimal boundary (defined as g(x)) that can best separate the two.
 - Define the decision function as + or distance from this boundry.
- I am thus assuming that the family of g(x) that discriminate X classes.



Sample Example (I)

- In the real world things are not as simple
 - Consider the following 2-dimensional problem
 - Not hard to see the problem!







master, ATIAM

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

master.₁₃

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

15



Sample Example (II)

- Polynomial Curve Fitting
 - Ist order polynomial









Sample Example (II)

- Polynomial Curve Fitting
 - Over-fitting and regularization
 - Effect of data set size (9th order polynomial)









Machine Learning Families

Imagine an organism or machine which experiences a series of sensory inputs:

 $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \ldots$

Supervised learning: The machine is also given desired outputs y_1, y_2, \ldots , and its goal is to learn to produce the correct output given a new input.

Unsupervised learning: The goal of the machine is to build a model of x that can be used for reasoning, decision making, predicting things, communicating etc.

Reinforcement learning: The machine can also produce actions a_1, a_2, \ldots which affect the state of the world, and receives rewards (or punishments) r_1, r_2, \ldots . Its goal is to learn to act in a way that maximises rewards in the long term.

Important Questions

- Given that we have learned what we want...
- If my g(x) can predict well on the data I have, will it also predict well on other sources of X I have not seen before?
- OR To what extent the knowledge that has been learned applies to the whole world outside? OR how does my learning generalize itself? (Generalization)
- Does having more data necessarily mean I learn better?
- No! Overfitting....
- Does having more complex models necessarily improve learning?
- No! Regularization.....



Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

Machine Learning Families

• Supervised Learning Families:

Classification: The desired outputs y_i are discrete class labels. The goal is to classify new inputs correctly (i.e. to generalize).

Regression: The desired outputs y_i are continuous valued. The goal is to predict the output accurately for new inputs.







Probability Theory

Master, 3

Machine Learning Models

- I. Discriminative Learning
 - When we do not assume a model over data, but assume a form on how they are separated from each other and fit it to discriminate classes...
 - Neural Networks, Kernel methods, Support Vector Machines etc.
- Pros:
 - No curse of dimensionality (in most cases)
 - Good when you can not formally describe the hidden generative process. ٠
- Cons:
 - Prior knowledge for discriminant factors are hard to imagine/justify... ٠
 - For complicated problems, they "seem" less intuitive than Generative . methods....
 - Less appealing for applications where generation is also important....



Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

Probability Theory

- A probabilistic model of the data can be used to
 - Make inference about missing inputs
 - Generate predictions/fantasies!
 - Make decisions with minimized expected loss
 - Communicate the data in an efficient way
- Statistical modeling is equivalent to other views of learning
- Information theoretic: Finding compact representations of the data
- Physics: Minimizing free energy of a corresponding mechanical system
- If not, what else?
 - knowledge engineering approach vs. Empirical induction approach
 - Domain of Probabilities vs. Domain of Possibilities (fuzzy logic)
 - Logic AI ...





Probability Theory

• Rules of Probability:

Master.



• Random variables X and Y are independent if

p(X/Y) = p(X)







Probability Theory

• Variances and Covariances

$$\operatorname{var}[f] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(x) - \mathbb{E}[f(x)] \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}[f(x)^2] - \mathbb{E}[f(x)]^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{cov}[x, y] &= & \mathbb{E}_{x, y} \left[\{ x - \mathbb{E}[x] \} \left\{ y - \mathbb{E}[y] \} \right] \\ &= & \mathbb{E}_{x, y} [xy] - \mathbb{E}[x] \mathbb{E}[y] \\ \\ \operatorname{cov}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] &= & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \left[\{ \mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] \} \{ \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}}] \} \right] \\ &= & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} [\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}}] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}}] \end{aligned}$$

Probability Theory● Expectations
$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \sum_{x} p(x)f(x)$$
 $\mathbb{E}[f] = \int p(x)f(x) \, dx$ $\mathbb{E}_{x}[f|y] = \sum_{x} p(x|y)f(x)$ Conditional Expectation
discrete) $\mathbb{E}[f] \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(x_n)$ Approximate Expectation
discrete and continuous)







Master, 3



Bayesian Decision Theory

- Framework for computing **optimal decisions** on problems involving **uncertainty** (probabilities)
- Basic concepts:
 - World:
 - has states or classes, drawn from a random variable Y
 - Instrument classification, $Y \in \{violin, piano, trumpet, drums, ...\}$
 - Audio to Score Alignment,
 - nment, $Y \in \{note1, chord2, note3, trill4, ...\}$
- Observer:

•

- Measures observations (features), drawn from a random process X
- Instrument classification, $X = MFCCfeatures \in \mathbb{R}^n$





Basics of Bayesian Decision Theory

- Question: How to choose the best class given the data?
- Choose the Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) class:

$\hat{\omega} = \operatorname{argmax} Pr(\omega_i | x)$

- Intuitively: Choose the most probable class given the observation.
- But we don't know $Pr(\omega_i|x)$
- But we know $Pr(x|\omega_i)$
- Apply Bayes rule:











Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning



Basics of Bayesian Decision Theory

• To compute the Bayesian Decision Rule (or MAP) we use log probabilities here:



- and note that
 - terms which are constant can be dropped •
 - Hence, if priors are equal, then we have:

$$i^*(x) = \arg\max_i \log P_{X|Y}(x|i)$$



51

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning



Basics of Bayesian Decision Theory • or $i^* = \arg\min_i \frac{(x-\mu_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}$ $= \arg\min_i (x^2 - 2x\mu_i + \mu_i^2)$ $= \arg\min_i (-2x\mu_i + \mu_i^2)$ • the optimal decision is, therefore • pick 0 if $-2x\mu_0 + \mu_0^2 < -2x\mu_1 + \mu_1^2$ $2x(\mu_1 - \mu_0) < \mu_1^2 - \mu_0^2$ • or, pick 0 if $x < \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_0}{2}$

55

Basics of Bayesian Decision Theory

• Now let's consider the general case:















Gaussian Classifiers

O Group Homework 0

- O Find the Geometric equation for the hyperplane separating the two classes for the linear discriminant of the Gaussian classifier.
- O Hint: This is the set such that













Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Master, 3

Bayesian Decision Theory

- Advantages
- BDR is optimat and can not be beaten!
- Bayes keeps you honest
- Models reflect causal interpretation of the problem, or how we think!
- Natural decomposition into "what we knew already" (prior) and "what data tells us" (obs)
- No need for heuristics to combine these two sources of information
- BDR is intuitive
- Problems
- BDR is optimal ONLY if the models are correct!

master. ATIAM

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

66

Bayesian Decision Theory

O Advantages

- O BDR is optimal and can not be beaten!
- O Bayes keeps you honest
- O Models reflect causal interpretation of the problem, or how we think!
- O Natural decomposition into "what we knew already" (prior) and "what data tells us" (obs)
- \boldsymbol{o} No need for heuristics to combine these two sources of information
- O BDR is intuitive
- **O** Problems
 - O BDR is optimal ONLY if the models are correct!





Maximum Likelihood

O We rely on the maximum likelihood (ML) principle.

- O ML has three main steps:
 - I. Choose a parametric model for all probabilities (as a function of unknown parameters)
 - 2. Assemble a training data-set
 - 3. Solve for parameters that maximize probabilities on the data-set!

master.₁₃

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning







f(x,y)

 $\nabla f(x_1, y_1)$

75

 $\nabla f(x_0, y)$

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

master.₁₃

 to determine which type we need second order conditions



74

76

may



Maximum Likelihood

O In summary:

- I. Choose a parametric model for probabilities $P_X(x;\Theta)$
- 2. Assemble $D = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of independently drawn examples
- 3. Select parameters that maximize the probability of the data
- O or Given a data-set we need to solve

$$\Theta^* = \arg \max_{\Theta} P_X(D; \Theta)$$

= $\arg \max_{\Theta} \log P_X(D; \Theta)$

O The solutions are the parameters such that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\Theta} P_X(D;\Theta) &= 0 \\ \theta^t \nabla_{\Theta}^2 P_X(D;\theta)\theta &\leq 0, \, \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \end{aligned}$$

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

78



master.

ML + BDR

O Going back to our simple classification problem....

• We can combine ML and Bayesian Decision Rule to make things safer and pick the desired class *i* if:



Estimators

O Example

O ML estimator for the mean of a Gaussian $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$Bias(\hat{\mu}) = E_{X_1,...,X_n}[\hat{\mu} - \mu] \\ = E_{X_1,...,X_n}[\hat{\mu}] - \mu \\ = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i E_{X_1,...,X_n}[X_i] - \mu \\ = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i E_{X_i}[X_i] - \mu \\ = \mu - \mu = 0$$

O The estimator is thus unbiased

Estimators

- O We now know how to produce estimators using Maximum-Likelihood....
- O How do we evaluate an estimator? Using bias and variance

O Bias

- O A measure how the expected value is equal to the true value
- **O** If $\hat{\theta} = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ then $Bias(\hat{\theta}) = E_{X_1, \dots, X_n}[f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \theta]$
- O An estimator that has bias will usually not converge to the perfect estimate! No matter how large the data-set is!

O Variance

- O Given a good bias, how many sample points do we need?
- $\mathbf{O} Var(\hat{\theta}) = E_{X_1,...,X_n} \left\{ f(X_1,...,X_n) E_{X_1,...,X_n} [f(X_1,...,X_n)]^2 \right\}$
- O Variance usually decreases with more training examples....

master, ATIAM

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

82





83



Probability Measures

- This does not change between frequentist and Bayesian philosophies
- Probability measure satisfies three axioms:
 - $-P(A) \ge 0 \quad \forall \text{ events } A$
 - P(universal event) = 1
 - if $A \bigcap B = \emptyset$ then P(A + B) = P(A) + P(B)

- Difference is in interpretation!
- Frequentist view:

master, ATiAM

master.

ATIAM

- In most cases probabilities are not objective!
- This is not usually how people behave.
- Bayesian view:
- Probabilities are subjective (not equal to relative count)
- Probabilities are degrees of belief on the outcome of experiment
- ML makes little sense.... Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

87

Bayesian Parameter Estimation

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

- Difference with ML: Θ is a random variable.
- Basic concept:
 - Training set $D = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of examples drawn independently
 - · Probability density for observations given parameter

$P_{X|\Theta}(x|\Theta)$

• Prior distribution for parameter configurations $P_{\Theta}(\theta)$

encodes prior belief on Θ

• Goal: Compute the posterior distribution



master.



Bayes vs ML

- · note that we know that information is lost
 - e.g. we can't even know how good of an estimate θ^* is
 - unless we run multiple experiments and measure bias/variance
- Bayesian BDR
 - under the Bayesian framework, everything is conditioned on the training data
- denote T = {X₁, ..., X_n} the set of random variables from which the training sample $D = {x_1, ..., x_n}$ is drawn
- B-BDR:

master, 3

pick i if

 $i^{*}(x) = \arg\max_{i} P_{X|Y,T}(x \mid i, D_{i}) P_{Y}(i)$

· the decision is conditioned on the entire training set

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

Bayes vs ML

- let's consider the BDR under the "0-1" loss and an independent sample $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$
- ML-BDR:
 - pick i if

$$i^{*}(x) = \arg\max_{i} P_{X|Y}(x \mid i; \theta_{i}^{*}) P_{Y}(i)$$

where $\theta_{i}^{*} = \arg\max_{o} P_{X|Y}(D \mid i, \theta)$

- two steps:
 - i) find θ^*
 - ii) plug into the BDR
- all information not captured by θ^* is lost, not used at decision time

Master.

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

Bayesian BDR

• to compute the conditional probabilities, we use the marginalization equation

 $P_{X|Y,T}(x \mid i, D_i) = \int P_{X|\Theta,Y,T}(x \mid \theta, i, D_i) P_{\Theta|Y,T}(\theta \mid i, D_i) d\theta$

- note 1: when the parameter value is known, x no longer depends on T, e.g. X| Θ ~ N(θ, σ²)
 - we can, simplify equation above into
 - $P_{X|Y,T}(x \mid i, D_i) = \int P_{X|\Theta,Y}(x \mid \theta, i) P_{\Theta|Y,T}(\theta \mid i, D_i) d\theta$
- note 2: once again can be done in two steps (per class)
 - i) find $P_{\Theta|T}(\theta|D_i)$
 - ii) compute $P_{X|Y,T}(x|i, D_i)$ and plug into the BDR
- no training information is lost





<section-header><section-header><equation-block><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><table-row>

95

Predictive Distribution The distribution $P_{X|T}(x|D) = \int P_{X|\Theta}(x|\theta) P_{\Theta|T}(\theta|D) d\theta$ is known as the predictive distribution. It allows us • to predict the value of x given ALL the information in the training set Bayes vs. ML: ML picks one model, Bayes averages all models • ML is a special case of Bayes when we are very confident about the model In otherwords ML~Bayes when . prior is narrow if the sample space is quite large intuition: Given a lot of training data, there is little uncertainty • Bayes regularizes the ML estimate! Master. 13 Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

MAP approximation

· this can usually be computed since

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{MAP} &= \arg\max_{\theta} P_{\Theta|T}(\theta \mid D) \\ &= \arg\max_{\theta} P_{T|\Theta}(D \mid \theta) P_{\Theta}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

and corresponds to approximating the prior by a delta function centered at its maximum





Bayesian Learning

<u>Summary</u>

Apply the basic rules of probability to learning from data. Data set: $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ Models: m, m' etc. Model parameters: θ

Prior probabilities on models: $P(m)\text{, }P(m^\prime)$ etc.

Prior probabilities on model parameters: e.g. $P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{m})$

Model of data given parameters: $P(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{m})$

If the data are independently and identically distributed then:

$$P(\mathcal{D}|\theta, m) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i|\theta, m)$$

Posterior probability of model parameters:

$$P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D},m) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta},m)P(\boldsymbol{\theta}|m)}{P(\mathcal{D}|m)}$$

Posterior probability of models:

$$P(m|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{P(m)P(\mathcal{D}|m)}{P(\mathcal{D})}$$

```
Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning
```

99

97

MAP vs ML

- ML-BDR - pick i if $i^{*}(x) = \arg \max_{i} P_{X|Y}(x \mid i; \theta_{i}^{*}) P_{Y}(i)$ where $\theta_{i}^{*} = \arg \max_{\theta} P_{X|Y}(D \mid i, \theta)$
- Bayes MAP-BDR

- pick i if

$$i^{*}(x) = \underset{i}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P_{X|Y}(x \mid i; \theta_{i}^{MAP}) P_{Y}(i)$$
where $\theta_{i}^{MAP} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P_{T|Y,\Theta}(D \mid i, \theta) P_{\Theta|Y}(\theta \mid i)$

- the difference is non-negligible only when the dataset is small
- there are better alternative approximations

master. ATIAM

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning









Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning



103



Observations • 3) for a given n $\begin{aligned} & \left[\alpha_n = \frac{n\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + n\sigma_0^2} \right] \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \mu_n = \alpha_n \hat{\mu} + (1 - \alpha_n) \mu_0 \\ \alpha_n \in [0,1], \quad \alpha_n \rightarrow 1, \quad \alpha_n \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{if } \sigma_0^{2>>\sigma^2}, \text{ i.e. we really don't know what } \mu \text{ is a priori then } \mu_n = \mu_{\text{ML}} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$ • on the other hand, if $\sigma_0^{2<<\sigma^2}$, i.e. we are very certain a priori, then $\mu_n = \mu_0$ • in summary, • Bayesian estimate combines the prior beliefs with the evidence provided by the data

· in a very intuitive manner



107

Observations

• 1) note that precision increases with n, variance goes to zero

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$$

we are guaranteed that in the limit of infinite data we have convergence to a single estimate

• 2) for large n the likelihood term dominates the prior term

$$\mu_n = \alpha_n \hat{\mu} + (1 - \alpha_n) \mu_0$$

$$\alpha_n \in [0, 1], \quad \alpha_n \underset{n \to \infty}{\to} 1, \quad \alpha_n \underset{n \to 0}{\to} 0$$

the solution is equivalent to that of ML

- for small n, the prior dominates
- this always happens for Bayesian solutions

$$P_{\mu|T}(\mu \mid D) \propto \prod_{i} P_{X|\mu}(x_i \mid \mu) P_{\mu}(\mu)$$

Master.

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

106

Conjugate priors

- note that
 - the prior $P_{\mu}(\mu) = G(\mu, \mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ is Gaussian
 - the posterior $P_{\mu T}(\mu | D) = G(x, \mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$ is Gaussian
- whenever this is the case (posterior in the same family as prior) we say that
 - $P_{\mu}(\mu)$ is a conjugate prior for the likelihood $P_{X|\mu}(X \mid \mu)$
 - posterior $P_{\mu|T}(\mu \mid D)$ is the reproducing density
- a number of likelihoods have conjugate priors

Likelihood	Conjugate prior
Bernoulli	Beta
Poisson	Gamma
Exponential	Gamma
Normal (known σ^2)	Gamma



Group Homework 2 **O** Histogram Problem • Imagine a random variable X such that, $P_X(k) = \pi_k, k \in 1, ..., N$ \circ Suppose we draw *n* independent observations from X and form a random vector $C = (C_1, \dots, C_N)^T$ where C_k is the number of times where the observed value is k**o C** is then a histogram and has a multinomial distribution: $P_{C_1,\dots,C_N}(c_1,\dots,c_N) = \frac{n!}{\prod_{k=1}^N c_k!} \prod_{j=1}^N \pi_j^{c_j}$ O Note that $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_w)$ are probabilities and thus: $\pi_i \ge 0$, $\sum \pi_i = 1$ **I.** Derive the ML estimate for parameters π_k , $k \in \{1, ..., N\}$ • hint: If you know about lagrange multipliers, use them! Otherwise, keep in mind that minimizing for a function f(a,b) constraint to a+b=1 is equivalent to minimizing for f(a, l-a). master, ATIAM

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning

Group Homework 2

O Histogram Problem

- 2. Derive the MAP solution using Dirichlet priors:
 - ▷ One possible prior model over π_k is the Dirichlet Distribution:

$$P_{\Pi_1,...,\Pi_N}(\pi_1,...,\pi_N) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^N)u_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^N \Gamma(u_j)} \prod_{j=1}^N \pi_j^{u_j-1}$$

 \triangleright where *u* is the set of hyper-parameters (prior parameters to solve) and

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_{O}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$$

is the Gamma function.

▶ You should show that the posterior is equal to:

$$P_{\Pi|C}(\pi|c) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^{W} c_j + u_j)}{\prod_{k=1}^{W} \Gamma(c_j + u_j)} \prod_{j=1}^{W} \pi_j^{c_j + u_j - 1}$$

3. Compare the MAP estimator with that of ML in part (1). What is the role of this prior compared to ML?

master, ATIAM

109

Arshia Cont: Survey of Machine Learning